

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,146	06/14/2002	Mark A. Kappel	126063	3242
27256	7590 11/26/2		EXAMINER	
ARTZ & AI 28333 TELE	•	PHAN, THIEM D		
SUITE 250	JKAPH KD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIEL	D, MI 48034		3729	

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			d. ·			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/064,146	KAPPEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tim Phan	3729			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imety filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	uly 2004.				
•	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmei	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/064,146 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 7/29/04 has been fully considered and made of record.

2. The rejection of claims 1-13 which were rejected in Office Action mailed on June 16, 2004 under 35 USC 102 or 35 USC 103; these claims are rejected under either 35 USC 102 or 35 USC 103 herein for substantially the same reasons as provided in the previous Office Action which is incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' arguments filed 7/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Applicants recite: "With respect to Fig. 9-12, claim 1 recites a tool 140 ... connector portion 22 ... piston assembly 41 ... cross-member 156 ... post head 158 ... " (Cf. Remarks, page 2, paragraph 4; Claim 1). The Patent Office's position as stated in the preceding Action was and continues to be that since the **figured and numbered** limitations are **not claimed**; and the prior art Omand (USPN 4,660,281 hereinafter '281) teaches each of the claimed limitations: a piston assembly (Cf. Fig. 2, 15) having a channel therethrough; a cross-member (Cf. Fig. 2, 20) slidably receiving said piston assembly (Cf. Fig. 2, 15), said cross-member having a slot for

Art Unit: 3729

pins(Cf. Fig. 5, 23) therein, said cross-member having a post head (Cf. Fig. 2, 42a) sized to be received within said retraction feature; and a pin (Cf. Fig. 5, 23) positioned within said channel and slidably received within said slot.

Applicants' remarks: "... the piston assembly is fixably mounted on the cross-member."

(Cf. Remarks, page 2, paragraph 5, line 4) are not true since the '281 teaches a hole (Cf. Fig. 5, between 20a & 20b) in the cross-member where the piston (Cf. Fig. 5, 15) is fed through without fixation.

Applicants' citations: "Claim 1 also recites a pin position ... slidably received within a slot... pins 23 ... are not slidably received therein."; the '282 teaches that limitation since the pins are slidably received in the slot in order to assemble the tool.

Furthermore, Applicants remark: "... the spring is recited ... positioned between the handle and the grip... the placement of the spring is in a completely different place." (Cf. Remarks, page 3, paragraph 3, lines 11 ff.; Claim 10), the Patent Office states that the '281 teaches the claimed invention and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a spring positioned on said piston between said handle and said grip, said spring urging said handle away from said grip and a sleeve adjacent to the grip for slidably receiving the piston, since it was known in the art that a pair of springs (Cf. Fig. 5, 55 & 56) mounted at the post-heads (Cf. Fig. 5, 42 & 44) with a pair of sleeves (Cf. Fig. 5, 55 & 56) for sliding the posts (Cf. Fig. 5, 42) would provide the same functionality as the claimed spring on the piston (Cf. Fig. 5, 15).

Art Unit: 3729

4. With the remainder of the claims rejected under either 35 USC 102 or 35 USC 103, they stand rejected as carefully articulated in the previous Office Action and in Responses to Remarks in paragraph 3 above.

It appears that Applicants fail to recognize the scope of the claims when judged in view of the '281. (Cf. MPEP 2111 and In re Geuns, 26 USPO 2nd 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993)).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' 5. disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-4568. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter VO can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

V

CARL J. ARBES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tim Phan Examiner Art Unit 3729

tp November 19, 2004